Express Mail No.: EV 335524465 US Attorney's Docket No.: 38493-8064US

PATENT

Priority

DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY FOR PATENT APPLICATION

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below, next to my name.

I believe I am the original, first, and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first, and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled ACTIVE PIXEL CELL USING NEGATIVE TO POSITIVE VOLTAGE SWING TRANSFER TRANSISTOR the specification of which is attached hereto.

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above-identified specification, including the claim(s), as amended by any amendment referred to above.

I acknowledge the duty to disclose all information known to me to be material to patentability as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56.

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under Title 35, United States Code, Section 119(a)-(d), of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate listed below and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed:

Prior Foreign Application	<u>(s)</u>		Claim	<u>ied</u>		
Number	Country	(Foreign Filing Date - MM/DD/YYYY)	Yes	No		
Number	Country	(Foreign Filing Date - MM/DD/YYYY)	Yes	No		
Number	Country	(Foreign Filing Date - MM/DD/YYYY)	Yes	No		
hereby claim the benefit provisional application(s)		States Code, Section 119(e) of a	ny United	States		
Application Number	(Filing Date - MM/DD/YYYY)					
Application Number	(Filing Date – MM/DD/YYYY)					

application(s) listed beto not disclosed in the prid 35, United States Code be material to patentab	efit under Title 35, Unite ow and, insofar as the sor United States applicate, Section 112, I acknow allity as defined in Title 3 een the filing date of the ation:	subject matter o ation in the man vledge the duty 37, Code of Fed	of each of the claims ner provided by the to disclose all inform eral Regulations, Se	of this application is first paragraph of Title nation known to me to action 1.56 which
Application Number	(Filing Date – M	M/DD/YYYY)		, abandoned
Application Number	(Filing Date – M	M/DD/YYYY)		, abandoned
of this document) as m	reons listed on Append y respective patent atto ecute this application a vith.	meys and pater	nt agents, with full p	ower of substitution
Send correspondence 1247, Seattle WA 981	o to <u>Chun M. Ng</u> 11-1247 and direct tele	, Perk ephone calls to	ins Coie LLP, Pate Chun M. Ng	nt - SEA, P.O. Box (208) 264-6488.
statements made on i statements were mad punishable by fine or	all statements made helief of the statements of the statement, or both the statements of the statement	are believed to that willful fals h, under Section	o be true; and furth se statements and on 1001 of Title 18 (er that these the like so made are of the United States
Full Name of Sole/First	Inventor Sohei Manat	oe		•
Inventor's Signature	Solai mas	rabe	Date July	15,2003
Residence San Jose.		Citiz	enship Japan	(0 -1-1)
Post Office Address1	(City, State) 561 Clarkspur Lane an Jose, California 951	29		(Country)
_				
Full Name of Sole/First	Inventor <u>Hidetoshi No</u>	zak <u>i</u>		
Inventor's Signature \int	Videtosh	1 Nozals	Date Jul	4/1, 2003
Residence <u>San Jose</u>			enship <u>Japan</u>	
Post Office Address <u>3</u> S	(City, State) 613 Copperfield, Apt. 3 an Jose, California 951	33 36		(Country)

APPENDIX A

STEPHEN E. ARNETT, Registration No. 47,392 RODGER K. CARREYN, Registration No. 50,774 BRIAN R. COLEMAN, Registration No. 39,145 CHRISTOPHER DALEY-WATSON, Registration No. 34,807 PETER J. DEHLINGER, Registration No. 28,006 DAVID BOGART DORT, Registration No. 50,213 DAVID T. DUTCHER, Registration No. 51,638 LEFANN GORTHEY, Registration No. 37,337 JOSEPH HAMILTON, Registration No. 51,770 PAUL L. HICKMAN, Registration No. 28,516 EDWARD S. HOTCHKISS, Registration No. 33,904 STEVEN KELLEY, Registration No. 43,449 JONATHAN P. KUDLA, Registration No. 47,724 STEVEN D. LAWRENZ, Registration No. 37,376 JACQUELINE F. MAHONEY, Registration No. 48,390 SHAILESH MEHRA, Registration No. 44,934 JUDY M. MOHR, Registration No. 38,563 CHUN M. NG, Registration No. 36,878 NGUYEN H. NGUYEN, Registration No. 43.834 REBEKKA C. NOLL, Registration No. 46,962 KENNETH H. OHRINER, Registration No. 31,646 PAUL T. PARKER, Registration No. 38,264 MAURICE J. PIRIO, Registration No. 33,273 TIM R. SEELEY, Registration No. 53,575 LAUREN SLIGER, Registration No. 51,086 LARRY W. THROWER, Registration No. 47,994 GLENN E. VON TERSCH, Registration No. 41,364 JOHN M. WECHKIN, Registration No. 42,216 JAMES A.D. WHITE, Registration No. 43,985 MICHAEL J. WISE, Registration No. 34,047 ROBFRT G. WOOLSTON, Registration No. 37,263 JAMES J. ZHU, Registration No. 52,396 all affiliated with Perkins Coie LLP.

APPENDIX B

Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56 Duty to Disclose Information Material to Patentability

- (a) A patent by its very nature is effected with a public interest. The public interest is hest served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:
 - (1) Prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
- (2) The closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and
- (1) It establishes, by Itself or in combination with other information, a prima tacte case of unpatentability of a claim; or
 - (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
 - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
 - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a cialm is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the cialm its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

- (c) Individuals associated with the fiting or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:
 - (1) Each inventor named in the application,
 - (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
- (3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.
- (d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.
- (e) In any continuation-in-part application, the duty under this section includes the duty to disclose to the Office all information known to the person to be material to patentability, as defined in paragraph (b) of this section, which became available between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of the continuation-in-part application.